1 HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 9 3M COMPANY, CASE NO. 2:20-cv-01096-RAJ 10 Plaintiff, AIME LLC, MARK BACIAK, VS. 11 AND MICHAEL BINGHAM'S AIME LLC, MARK BACIAK, AND MICHAEL BINGHAM, ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE 12 **DEFENSES** 13 Defendants. 14 15 16 **ANSWER** 17 The Defendants AIME LLC, MARK BACIAK, AND MICHAEL BINGHAM hereby answer 18 the correspondingly numbered paragraphs of the Complaint filed in this action, and state as 19 follows: 20 1. Denied. 21 2. Denied. 22 3. Denied. 23 4. Denied. 24 5. Denied. 25 6. Denied. 26 LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD D. ROSS DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE **DEFENSES** 2737 37TH AVE SW Case No.: 2:20-cv-01096-RAJ -1 SEATTLE, WA 98126

 7. Denied. 8. Denied. BACKGROUND 		
3 <u>BACKGROUND</u>		
4 9. Without knowledge and therefore denied.		
5 10. Admitted that healthcare professionals and other first responders ar	re heroically placing	
6 their health and safety on the line to battle COVID-19. Otherwise, without	knowledge and	
7 therefore denied.	therefore denied.	
8 11. Admitted the demand for respirators of any origin has grown expor	11. Admitted the demand for respirators of any origin has grown exponentially in response to	
9 the pandemic, and that 3M has been committed to seeking to maintain its r	nonopoly and still	
meet this demand for its own selfish profit motives. Denied that 3M is trying	meet this demand for its own selfish profit motives. Denied that 3M is trying to keep its	
11 respirators priced fairly.	respirators priced fairly.	
12 12. Admitted that certain bad actors have attempted to exploit the crisis	s and engage in such	
scams during the pandemic. Denied that Defendants have been involved in	scams during the pandemic. Denied that Defendants have been involved in any such scams.	
14 Otherwise, denied.	Otherwise, denied.	
13. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.		
14. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.		
17 15. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	15. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
18 16. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.		
19 17. Without knowledge of 3M's efforts to combat fraud, its stance, and	l its commitments.	
20 Otherwise, denied.	Otherwise, denied.	
21 18. Without knowledge of 3M's motives for filing the lawsuit. Otherwi	ise, denied.	
22 THE PARTIES	THE PARTIES	
23 19. Admitted 3M has a global presence and is among the leading manu	afacturers of such PPE.	
Otherwise, without knowledge and therefore denied.		
25 20. Admitted that AIME was such a company. Admitted that AIME us	es the domain name	
http://aimeusa.com/. To the extent Plaintiff accurately cites to the website, DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE LAW OFFIC DEFENSES Case No.: 2:20-cv-01096-RAJ -2	Plaintiff's quotes CE OF RICHARD D. ROSS 2737 37TH AVE SW SEATTLE, WA 98126 T: 206.660.0512	

1	therefrom are admitted. Admitted AIME was formed on February 5, 2020, that it registered its	
2	internet domain name on March 19, 2020, and that AIME is not one of 3M's authorized	
3	distributors. Otherwise, without knowledge and therefore denied.	
4	21. Admitted.	
5	22. Denied.	
6	23. Denied.	
7	JURISDICION AND VENUE	
8	24. Admitted.	
9	25. Admitted.	
10	26. Admitted.	
11	27. Admitted this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants. Otherwise, denied.	
12	28. Admitted that venue is proper in this District and that at least one of the Defendants	
13	reside in this district. Otherwise, denied.	
14	FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF	
15	29. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
16	30. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
17	31. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
18	32. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
19	33. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
20	34. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
21	35. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
22	36. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
23	37. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
24	38. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
25	39. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
26	i. 3M's Extensive Efforts to Assist with the Battle Against COVID-19	
	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES Case No.: 2:20-cv-01096-RAJ -3 LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD D. ROSS 2737 37TH AVE SW SEATTLE, WA 98126	

1	40. Admitted as to the first sentence. Denied as to the second sentence.	
2	41. Admitted.	
3	42. Admitted.	
4	43. Admitted.	
5	44. Admitted as to the first sentence. Denied as to the second sentence.	
6	45. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
7	46. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
8	A. COVID-19 Facts	
9	47. Admitted.	
10	48. Admitted.	
11	49. Admitted.	
12	50. Admitted.	
13	51. Admitted.	
14	52. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
15	B. Defendants' Unlawful Conduct	
16	53. Admitted.	
17	54. Admitted.	
18	55. Denied.	
19	56. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.	
20	57. Admitted.	
21	58. Denied.	
22	59. Denied.	
23	60. Denied.	
24	61. Denied.	
25	62. Denied.	
26	63. Denied. DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES Case No.: 2:20-cv-01096-RAJ -4 LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD D. ROSS 2737 37TH AVE SW SEATTLE, WA 98126	

1	64. Denied.		
2	65. Denied.		
3	66. Denied.		
4	67. Denied.		
5	68. Denied.		
6	69. Denied.		
7	70. Denied.		
8	71. Denied.		
9	72. Denied.		
10	73. Denied.		
11	74. Denied.		
12	75. Denied.		
13	76. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.		
14	77. Without knowledge, and therefore denied.		
15 16	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Unfair Competition, False Endorsement, False Association, and False Designation of Origin Under Section 43(a)(l)(A) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(l)(A))		
17	78. Defendants re-allege and incorporate herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs l		
18	through 77 above as through fully set forth herein.		
19	79. Denied.		
20	80. Denied.		
21	81. Denied.		
22	82. Denied.		
23	83. Denied.		
24	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Trademark Dilution Under Section 43(c) of the Lanham Act)		
25	84. Defendants re-allege and incorporate herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs l		
26	through 77 above as through fully set forth herein.		
	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD D. ROSS DEFENSES 2737 37TH AVE SW Case No.: 2:20-cv-01096-RAJ -5 SEATTLE, WA 98126 T: 206.660.0512		

1	85. Denied.		
2	86. Denied.		
3	87. Denied.		
4	88. Denied.		
5	89. Denied.		
6	90. Denied.		
7	91. Denied.		
8	(Faise Advertising Under Section 45(a)(I)(B) of the		
10	92. Defendants re-allege and incorporate herein by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1		
11	through 77 above as through fully set forth herein.		
12	93. Denied.		
13	94. Denied.		
14	95. Denied.		
15	96. Denied.		
16	97. Denied.		
17	98. Denied.		
18	00 Danied		
19	100. Denied.		
20	101. Denied.		
21	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Trademark infringement; RCW 19.77.150160)		
22			
23	Defendants re-allege and incorporate herein by reference the allegations in		
24			
25	103. Denied.		
26	104. Denied.		
	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES Case No.: 2:20-cv-01096-RAJ -6 LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD D. ROS 2737 37TH AVE S SEATTLE, WA 9812 T: 206.660.05	W 26	

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Violation of Washington Unfair Business Practices Act, RCW § 19.86 et seq.)

1	(Violation of Washington Unfair Business Practices Act, RCW § 19.86 et seq.)		
2			
3	105.	Defendants re-allege and incorporate herein by reference the allegations in	
4	paragraphs l through 77 above as through fully set forth herein.		
5	106.	Denied.	
6	107.	Denied.	
7	108.	Denied.	
8	109.	Denied.	
9	110.	Denied.	
10	111.	Denied.	
11	112.	Denied.	
12	113.	Denied.	
13			
14		SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
15		(Conspiracy)	
16	114.		
17	11	Defendants re-allege and incorporate herein by reference the allegations in	
		through 77 above as through fully set forth herein.	
18			
19	paragraphs 1	through 77 above as through fully set forth herein.	
19 20	paragraphs 1	through 77 above as through fully set forth herein. Denied.	
19 20 21	paragraphs 1 115. 116.	through 77 above as through fully set forth herein. Denied. Denied.	
19 20 21 22	paragraphs 1 115. 116. 117.	through 77 above as through fully set forth herein. Denied. Denied. Denied.	
19 20 21 22 23	paragraphs 1 115. 116. 117. 118.	through 77 above as through fully set forth herein. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied.	
19 20 21 22 23 24	paragraphs 1 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120.	through 77 above as through fully set forth herein. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied.	
19 20 21 22 23	paragraphs 1 115. 116. 117. 118. 119. 120.	through 77 above as through fully set forth herein. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied. Denied. efendants deny that 3M is entitled to any relief against them as sought in 3M's	

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES Case No.: 2:20-cv-01096-RAJ -7 LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD D. ROSS 2737 37TH AVE SW SEATTLE, WA 98126 T: 206.660.0512

1	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES		
2	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to State a Claim)		
3	121.	The Complaint, on one or more counts so	et forth therein, fails to state a claim upon
4	which relief can be granted.		
5	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
6		(Fair Use)	
7	122.	The claims made in the Complaint are b	arred, in whole or in part, by the
8	doctrines of fair use, nominative fair use and/or descriptive use.		rive use.
9	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (First Sale Doctrine)		
10	123.	The claims made in the Complaint are ba	arred, in whole or in part, by the first sale
11	doctrine.		
12 13	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Functionality)		
14	124.	The claims made in the Complaint are ba	arred, in whole or in part, on the basis that
15	any marks at issue are functional.		
16	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
17	125.	The claims made in the Complaint are ba	arred, in whole or in part, because any
18	infringement, if any, was innocent.		
19		SIXTH AFFIRMATIV (Waiver, Acquiescence,	
20	126.	Each of the purported claims set forth in	this Complaint is barred by the doctrines
21	of waiver, acquiescence, and estoppel.		
22 23	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
24	127.	Defendant has not infringed any applicab	ole trademarks under federal or state law.
25		EIGHTH AFFIRMATI	VE DEFENSE
	(No Causation)		
26	DEFENSES	CS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE	LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD D. ROSS 2737 37TH AVE SW SEATTLE, WA 98126

1	128.	Plaintiff's claims against Defendants	are barred because Plaintiff's damages, if
2	any, were not caused by Defendants.		
3	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (No Damage)		
4	129.	Without admitting that the Complaint	states a claim, there has been no damage in
5	any amount,	manner or at all by reason of any act alle	eged against Defendant in the Complaint,
6	and the relief prayed for in the Complaint therefore cannot be granted.		
7 8	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
9	130.	Plaintiff's claims are barred by the do	etrine of unclean hands.
10		ELEVENTH AFFIRM. (Lack of Irrepar	
11	131.	Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief	are barred because Plaintiff cannot show
12	that it will suffer any irreparable harm from Defendants's actions.		
13	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
14		(Adequacy of Ren	•
15	132.	The alleged injury or damage suffered	d by Plaintiff, if any, would be adequately
16	compensated by damages. Accordingly, Plaintiff has a complete and adequate remedy at law and		
17	is not entitled to seek equitable relief.		
18		<u>THIRTEENTH AFFIRM</u> (Failure to M	
19	133.	The claims made in the Complaint are	barred, in whole or in part, because of a
20	failure to mitigate damages, if such damages exist.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
	DEFENDANT	'S' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE	LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD D. ROSS

DEFENSES Case No.: 2:20-cv-01096-RAJ -10

2737 37TH AVE SW SEATTLE, WA 98126

1	Dated this 9 th day of October, 2020	
2		LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD D. ROSS
3		
4		By s/Richard D. Ross
5		Richard D. Ross, WSBA No. 34502 richard.d.ross@icloud.com
6		Law Office of Richard D. Ross 2737 37 th Ave SW
7		Seattle, WA 98126
8		Telephone: 206.660.0512
9		By: <u>s/ R. Gale Porter, Jr.</u>
10		R. Gale Porter, Jr., FBN 0578584 gale@porterlawgroup.net
11		Paralegal@porterlawgroup.net
12		PORTER LAW GROUP LLC 1646 W. Snow Ave. Suite 11
13		Tampa, Florida 33606 P: 813.405.3100 F: 813.603.6301
14		Pro Hac Vice
15		Attorney for Defendants AIME, LLC,
16		Mark Baciak, and Michael Bingham
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01096-RAJ -11

LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD D. ROSS 2737 37TH AVE SW SEATTLE, WA 98126 T: 206.660.0512

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 9, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all parties of record at the email address identified in the same.

By <u>s/Richard D. Ross</u> Counsel for Defendants

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Case No.: 2:20-cv-01096-RAJ -12

LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD D. ROSS 2737 37TH AVE SW SEATTLE, WA 98126 T: 206.660.0512